# Case 3:23-cv-04327-LB Document 14 Filed 11/13/23 Page 1 of 11

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BRYAN J. McCORMACK, Cal. Bar No. 192418 Email: bryan@bmcclaw.com McCormack Law Firm 1299 Fourth Street, Suite 505A San Rafael, CA 94901 Tel: (415) 925-5161 Fax: (415) 651-7837 Attorney for Plaintiff JUN FU		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	JUN FU, an individual,	Case No. 3:23-cv-04327-LB	
12	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANT JUN	
13	VS.	Fu's Answer to Defendant Rokid, Inc.'s Counterclaim	
14	ROKID, INC.; and DOES 1 through 25,		
15	inclusive,		
16	Defendants.		
17	ROKID, INC.,		
18	Counterclaimant,		
19	VS.		
20	JUN FU; DOES 1-100, inclusive,		
21			
22	Counter-Defendants		
23			
24	Counter-Defendant Jun Fu ("Fu" or "Counter-Defendant") answers the Counterclaim [Dkt.		
25	[11] filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Rok	id, Inc. ("Rokid" or "Counterclaimant") on	
26	October 20, 2023, by admitting, denying, and allo	eging as follows:	
27	THE P.	ARTIES	
28	1. Fu lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the		
	-	1 -	

23

24

25

26

27

28

allegations of paragraph 1 of the Counterclaim and on that basis denies those allegations.

- 2. Fu admits the allegations of paragraph 2 of the Counterclaim.
- 3. Fu lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 3 of the Counterclaim and on that basis denies those allegations.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. Answering the allegations of paragraph 4 of the Counterclaim, Fu admits that he is a resident of Minnesota. Fu denies the allegations that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000 and that Rokid's counterclaims arise out of the same common nuclei of operative facts as Fu's federal complaint. Fu lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 4 of the Counterclaim and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 5. Fu lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 5 of the Counterclaim and on that basis denies those allegations.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 6. Fu admits the allegations in paragraph 6 of the Counterclaim.
- 7. Fu admits the allegations in paragraph 7 of the Counterclaim.
- 8. Answering the allegations of paragraph 8 of the Counterclaim, Fu admits that he was Rokid's Vice President of Sales. Fu denies all remaining allegations of paragraph 8.
  - 9. Fu admits the allegations in paragraph 9 of the Counterclaim.
  - 10. Fu admits the allegations in paragraph 10 of the Counterclaim.
  - 11. Fu admits the allegations in paragraph 11 of the Counterclaim.
  - 12. Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 12 of the Counterclaim.
  - 13. Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 13 of the Counterclaim.
  - 14. Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 14 of the Counterclaim.
  - 15. Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 15 of the Counterclaim.
- 16. To the extent that Paragraph 16 purports to be a statement of law, Fu states that this paragraph neither requires nor permits a response. To the extent that an answer may be deemed necessary, Fu responds that the statute referenced in Paragraph 16 the Counterclaim speaks for

4

17

18

19

2021

23

22

2425

26

2728

itself and therefore neither admits nor denies the statement of law in this paragraph. Fu denies each and every factual allegation, to the extent that Counterclaimant makes any factual allegations, in Paragraph 16 of the Counterclaim.

- 17. To the extent that Paragraph 17 purports to be a statement of law, Fu states that this paragraph neither requires nor permits a response. To the extent that an answer may be deemed necessary, Fu responds that the statute referenced in Paragraph 17 the Counterclaim speaks for itself and therefore neither admits nor denies the statement of law in this paragraph. Fu denies each and every factual allegation, to the extent that Counterclaimant makes any factual allegations, in Paragraph 17 of the Counterclaim.
- 18. Fu lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 18 of the Counterclaim and on that basis denies those allegations.
  - 19. Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 19 of the Counterclaim.
  - 20. Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 20 of the Counterclaim.
  - 21. Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 21 of the Counterclaim.
- 22. Answering the allegations of paragraph 22 of the Counterclaim, Fu admits that he received a letter from Rokid's attorney dated June 19, 2023 making the allegations and demands described in this paragraph. Fu denies all remaining allegations of paragraph 22.
  - 23. Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 23 of the Counterclaim.

## FIRST COUNTERCLAIM FOR RELIEF (Misappropriation of Trade Secrets in Violation of the Defend Trade Secrets Act) (Against Fu and DOES 1-100)

- 24. Fu incorporates by reference the responses contained in each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. Paragraph 24 of the Counterclaim does not otherwise require a response.
  - 25. Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 25 of the Counterclaim.
  - 26. Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 26 of the Counterclaim.
- 27. To the extent that Paragraph 27 purports to be a statement of law, Fu states that this paragraph neither requires nor permits a response. To the extent that an answer may be deemed

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

necessary, Fu responds that the statute referenced in Paragraph 27 of the Counterclaim speaks for itself and therefore neither admits nor denies the statement of law in this paragraph. Fu denies each and every factual allegation, to the extent that Counterclaimant makes any factual allegations, in Paragraph 27 of the Counterclaim.

- 28. Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 28 of the Counterclaim.
- 29. Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 29 of the Counterclaim.
- 30. Answering the allegations of paragraph 30 of the Counterclaim, Fu admits that he had a duty to protect Rokid, Inc's trade secrets. Fu denies all remaining allegations of paragraph 30.
  - 31. Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 31 of the Counterclaim.
  - 32. Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 32 of the Counterclaim.
  - 33. Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 33 of the Counterclaim.
  - 34. Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 34 of the Counterclaim.
  - 35. Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 35 of the Counterclaim.
  - 36. Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 36 of the Counterclaim.
  - 37. Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 37 of the Counterclaim.

### SECOND COUNTERCLAIM FOR RELIEF (Misappropriation of Trade Secrets in Violation of California Uniform Trade Secrets Act) (Against Fu and DOES 1-100)

- 38. Fu incorporates by reference the responses contained in each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. Paragraph 38 of the Counterclaim does not otherwise require a response.
- 39. Fu lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 39 of the Counterclaim and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 40. Fu lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 40 of the Counterclaim and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 41. Fu lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 41 of the Counterclaim and on that basis denies those allegations.
  - 42. Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 42 of the Counterclaim.

1	43.	Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 43 of the Counterclaim.	
2	44.	Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 44 of the Counterclaim.	
3	45.	Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 45 of the Counterclaim.	
4	THIRD COUNTERCLAIM FOR RELIEF		
5	(Defamation) (Against Fu and DOES 1-100)		
6	46.	Fu incorporates by reference the responses contained in each of the preceding	
7	paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. Paragraph 46 of the Counterclaim does not otherwise		
8	require a response.		
9	47.	Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 47 of the Counterclaim.	
10	48.	Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 48 of the Counterclaim.	
11	49.	Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 49 of the Counterclaim.	
12	50.	Fu denies each and every allegation of paragraph 50 of the Counterclaim.	
13		PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
14	No answer is required to Counterclaimant's prayer, which merely sets forth the relief		
15	sought by Co	ounterclaimant. To the extent that an answer is required, Fu denies each and every one	
16	of the allegat	tions and alleged entitlement to relief set forth within Counterclaimant's prayer.	
17		AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES	
18			
19	FIRST SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Failure to State a Cause of Action)		
20	51.	Neither the Counterclaim nor any purported cause of action alleged therein states	
21	facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action upon which relief may be granted against Counter-		
22	Defendant.		
23		SECOND SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
24		(Waiver)	
25	52.	The Counterclaim each cause of action set forth therein are barred by the doctrine	
26	of waiver.		
27	THIRD SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Consent)		
28			
		5	

1	53.	The Counterclaim and each purported cause of action alleged therein are barred to	
2	the extent Counterclaimant consented to, actively participated in, approved of, ratified or acquiesced		
3	in the conduct, or all of the above, which it now alleges constitutes their causes of action.		
4	FOURTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
5	(Justification)		
6	54.	The Counterclaim and each cause of action set forth therein are barred by the	
7	doctrine of justification.		
8		FIFTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
9		(Estoppel)	
10	55.	The Counterclaim and each cause of action set forth therein are barred by the	
11	doctrine of e	stoppel.	
12		SIXTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
13	(Laches)		
14	56.	The Counterclaim and each cause of action set forth therein are barred by the	
15	doctrine of laches.		
16	SEVENTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
17		(Unclean Hands)	
18	57.	The Counterclaim and each cause of action set forth therein are barred by the	
19	doctrine of unclean hands.		
20		EIGHTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
21		(Duress)	
22	58.	The Counterclaim and each cause of action set forth therein are barred by the	
23	doctrine of duress.		
24		NUNTER CEDADATE AND A REDDMATUVE DEFENCE	
25		NINTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Uncertainty)	
26	59.	The Counterclaim and each cause of action set forth therein are uncertain.	
27			
28	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Statute of Limitations)		
		- 6 -	
	I	JUN FU'S ANSWER TO ROKID INC 'S COUNTERCLAIM	

60. The Counterclaim and each cause of action therein are barred by any and all		
applicable statutes of limitation.		
ELEVENTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
(Speculative Damages)		
61. Counterclaimant cannot recover any of the damages alleged in the Counterclaim		
because such damages, if any, are too speculative to be recoverable by law.		
TWELFTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
(Comparative Fault/Bad Faith)		
62. Counterclaimant acted negligently and/or in bad faith with respect to the matters		
alleged in the Counterclaim and are otherwise at fault. By reason of Counterclaimant's		
negligence, bad faith and/or comparative fault, Counterclaimant's right of recovery, if any, should		
be reduced by that amount which the negligence, bad faith, and fault of persons and entities other		
than Counter-Defendant, including Counterclaimant, contributed to any damages.		
THIRTEENTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
(Good Faith Conduct)		
63. Counterclaimant's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Counter-		
Defendant's alleged acts or omissions, if any, were in good faith and with reasonable belief that		
the alleged acts or omissions, if any, were not a violation of any applicable law.		
EQUIPTEENTH CEDADATE AND A FEIDMATINE DEFENCE		
FOURTEENTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Setoff, Offset, Recoupment)		
64. Some or all of Counterclaimant's claims in the Counterclaim are subject to setoff,		
offset, and/or recoupment.		
FIFTEENTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Lack of Standing)		
65. The Counterclaim and each purported cause of action alleged therein are barred		
because Counterclaimant lacks standing to assert the causes of action alleged in its Counterclaim.		
CIVTEENTH CEDADATE AND A FEIDMATIVE DEFENCE		
SIXTEENTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (No Damages)		

1	66.	The Counterclaim and each purported cause of action alleged therein and any	
2	damage allegedly suffered by Counterclaimant was not the result of any act or omission of		
3	Counter-Defendant.		
4		SEVENTEENTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
5		(No Basis for Recovery of Attorneys' Fees)	
6	67.	Counterclaimant is not entitled to any award of attorneys' fees on the claims	
7	asserted in its Counterclaim as there is no statutory, contractual or other basis for recovery of		
8	attorneys' fees in this action.		
9		EIGHTEENTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
10	(Failure to Mitigate)		
11	68.	The Counterclaim and each purported cause of action alleged therein are barred	
12	from recovery, in whole or in part, by reason of Counterclaimant's failure to mitigate damages.		
13		NINETEENTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
14		(Prevention of Performance)	
15	69.	Counter-Defendant has fully performed all of the conditions and covenants required	
16	of him, exce	ot those excused or prevented by Counterclaimant.	
17		TWENTIETH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
18		(Prior Breach by Counterclaimant)	
19	70.	The Counterclaim, and each cause of action therein, is barred by virtue of	
20	Counterclain	nant's own material breach of the contract.	
21		TWENTY-FIRST SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
22		(Statute of Frauds)	
23	71.	The Counterclaim, and each cause of action therein, is barred by the statute of	
24	frauds.		
25		TWENTY-SECOND SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
26		(No Basis for Punitive Damages)	
27	72.	Counterclaimant fails to state facts sufficient to justify an award of punitive	
28	damages against Counter-Defendant.		

1	has not and cannot establish the existence of a trade secret under California or Federal law. The	
2	claims against Counter-Defendant depend, in whole or in part, upon the existence of trade secrets,	
3	which have not been properly defined by Counterclaimant with reasonable particularity.	
4	Moreover, the allegations about Counterclaimant's trade secrets are insufficient to establish the	
5	existence of information that is not readily ascertainable; not generally known to one skilled in the	
6	trade; and derives economic value from its being kept secret and/or are insufficient with respect	
7	any efforts to keep such information secret.	
8	TWENTY-NINTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
9	(Bad Faith and Frivolous Litigation)	
10	79. Counterclaimant's claims against Counter-Defendant are asserted in bad faith, and	
11	are frivolous, unreasonable and without foundation. Counter-Defendant is therefore entitled to an	
12	award of costs and expenses of litigation, including reasonable attorney's fees.	
13	RESERVATION OF RIGHT TO AMEND ANSWER	
14	Counter-Defendant hereby gives notice that he intends to rely on such other further defense	
15	as may become available during discovery in this action. Counter-Defendant hereby reserves the	
16	right to amend his response to the Counterclaim to assert any such defenses.	
17	<u>Prayer</u>	
18	WHEREFORE, Counter-Defendant prays for judgment as follows:	
19	1. That judgment is entered in favor of Counter-Defendant and against Counterclaiman	
20	on all causes of action;	
21	2. That the Counterclaim be dismissed with prejudice in its entirety;	
22	3. That Counterclaimant takes nothing by reason of the Counterclaim;	
23	4. That Counter-Defendant be awarded his costs of defending against the Counterclaim	
24	and reasonable attorneys' fees to the extent permitted by law; and	
25	5. For such other relief as the Court deems proper.	
26		
27		
28		

# Case 3:23-cv-04327-LB Document 14 Filed 11/13/23 Page 11 of 11

1	Date: November 13, 2023	McCormack Law Firm
2		/s/ Bryan J. McCormack by Bryan J. McCormack, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Jun Fu
3 4		Attorney for Plaintiff Jun Fu
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		- 11 -

JUN FU'S ANSWER TO ROKID INC.'S COUNTERCLAIM FU V. ROKID INC., ET AL., CASE NO. 3:23-CV-04327-LB